Application No. Applicant(s) 10/600,658 KANNO ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit John Hoffmann 1731 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) John Hoffmann. (4)_____ (2) Christopher Bullard. Date of Interview: 30 August 2007. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c)⊠ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 1,4,5,6,11+12 Identification of prior art discussed: <u>LeTemP</u>3 Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) \mathbf{Z} was not reached. h) \mathbf{N} \mathbf{N} . Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03) ς,

Interview Summary

1 1 30 Aug 0

kaminer's signature, if required

JOHN HOFFMANN PRIMARY EXAMMER

GROUP/138

Paper No. 20070830

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an

(Larifying Panguage was discussed for the Claims.

Except for individually it appeared that corrections

Except for individually it appeared that rhat corrections

for all of the 112 rejections was were found. Exr. was

for all of the 112 rejections was were found. Exr. was

still unsure whether merely having movements with individually

Paths (in Letemps) reads on moving individually.